

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,362 04/09/2004		04/09/2004	Gary Fisher	35483US1	1194
116	7590	02/24/2006		EXAMINER	
PEARNE & GORDON LLP 1801 EAST 9TH STREET				PELHAM, JOSEPH MOORE	
SUITE 12			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELA	AND, OH	44114-3108	3742		
				DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_
_

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/821,362	FISHER, GARY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph M. Pelham	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 April 2004 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/7/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/821,362

Art Unit: 3742

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 2

Claims 3, 4, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3, line 1, recites "first heating means, which lacks antecedent basis. It should evidently specify "range-top" heating means.

Claim 22 recites "the first chamber is a warming drawer," which is inconsistent with claim 21 from which it depends. The second chamber is the warming drawer.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. Appln 2005/0173401 (US'401) in view of British Pat. 2,252,647 (GB'647).

US'401 discloses, at Figs. 1-4 and paragraphs. [0017] and [0021], a plurality of range top heating elements 402-408, a first range chamber heating means with bake and broil elements 410, 412, and a second range chamber comprising a warming drawer. US'401 does not explicitly disclose a central microprocessor for controlling all heating functions. However, referring to Fig. 1, page 3, lines 2-23, and page 5, lines 21-26, especially, GB'647 discloses a central microprocessor 19 for controlling all heating functions in a range oven. It would have been obvious to adapt the CPU of GB'647 to the range of US'401 since GB'647 teaches such to improve power usage efficiency.

Claims 3, 4, 8-15, and 18-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US'401 in view of GB'647, as applied to claims 1, 2, 5-7, 16, and 17 above, and further in view of US Pat. 6198080 (US'080).

The claims differ from US'401 in view of GB'647 only in calling for a range top warming element, a bridge burner, and a glass capacitive touch pad operator interface. Referring to Figs. 1-3, col. 2, lines 3-14, and col. 3, lines 4-9, US'080 discloses a range top warming element 26, a bridge burner, and a glass capacitive touch pad operator interface. It would have been obvious to adapt the warming, bridge, and touch pad control means of US'080 to the range of US'401 in view of GB'647, to enhance cooking versatility and convenience of operator control and cleaning.

Applicant is strongly urged to review the prior art cited but not applied when replying to this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph M. Pelham whose telephone number is 571-272-4786. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

2/14/06

JOSEPH PELHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER